

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/625,585	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIRCH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert P. Swiatek	<b>Art Unit</b> 3643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(2) Mr. S. Craig Hemenway.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2005

**Time:** =

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Possible 35 USC 103(a)*

**Claims discussed:**

2, 14

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Havener (US 3,341,909); Kondo (US 5,404,602)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants' representative authorized amendment of claim 2 such that a leash is now recited; a change to claim 14 was authorized to overcome an informality.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)